WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

K

August 14, 2007

TO:	Internal File					
THRU	D. Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor					
FROM	Internal File D. Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor James D. Smith, Environmental Scientist 2007 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Resources, Inc., Wildcat					
RE:	2007 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Resources, Inc., Wildcat Loadout, C/007/0033, Task ID # 2694					
The Wildcat Loadout has no coal extraction operations. The monitoring plan is described on page 137 and in Tables IV-10 and IV-11 of the MRP. Reports for this site indicate no observed flow at the monitoring points, including the UPDES discharge points, during the second quarter of 2007.						
1.	Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?					
There is no spring, well, or in-mine monitoring at this site.						
	Streams YES ⊠ NO □					
There was no flow at MRP surface-water monitoring points WCW-1, WCW-2, WCW-3, and WCW-4 during the second quarter of 2007.						
	UPDES YES ⊠ NO □					
There was no flow or discharge at UPDES monitoring points WCW-A, WCW-B, WCW-C, WCW-D, WCW-E, and WCW-F during the second quarter of 2007.						
2.	Were all required parameters reported for each site?					
	Streams YES ⊠ NO □					
2007.	"No flow" was reported for all MRP monitoring points during the second quarter of					

Page 2
C/007/0033
WQ07-2
August 14, 2007

			August 14, 2007			
	UPDES	YES 🛚	NO 🗌			
quart	"No flow" or "no discharge" was reported for all UPDES discharge during the second ter of 2007.					
3.	Were any irregularities found in the data?					
	Streams	YES 🗌	NO 🛛			
	UPDES	YES	NO 🗵			
4.	On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.					
In the year preceding renewal, one sample at low flow and one at high flow for baseline analyses. The next renewal submittal is due 01/05/09 and the next renewal is due 05/05/09, so five-year resampling should be done in 2008, if possible. During the last resampling period in 2003, only one sample was collected, at WCW-1 in March, and it was not analyzed for baseline parameters.						
5.	Did the Permittee make a timely submittal of all data, including initially missing data, and satisfactorily explain irregular data? YES NO					
6.	Does the Mine Operator need to submit more informate monitoring requirements?	ion to fulfill t	his quarter's NO ⊠			
7.	Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary.					
	None.					
8.	Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?					
Because of the sparsity of flow events at this loadout, the monitoring plan should be clarified so that any flow during the year preceding permit renewal will be analyzed for baseline parameters.						

 $O: \label{lem:condition} O: \label{lem:condi$